

## CODALEMA: A COSMIC RAY AIR SHOWER RADIO DETECTION EXPERIMENT

D. ARDOUIN<sup>A</sup>, A. BELLETOILE<sup>A</sup>, D. CHARRIER<sup>A</sup>, R. DALLIER<sup>A</sup>, L.  
DENIS<sup>B</sup>, P. ESCHSTRUTH<sup>C</sup>, T. GOUSSET<sup>A</sup>, F. HADDAD<sup>A</sup>, J.  
LAMBLIN<sup>A</sup>, P. LAUTRIDOU<sup>A</sup>, A. LECACHEUX<sup>D</sup>, D.  
MONNIER-RAGAIGNE<sup>C</sup>, O. RAVEL<sup>A</sup>

(A) *SUBATECH, 4 rue Alfred Kastler, BP20722, F44307 Nantes cedex 3,  
France*

(B) *LESIA, Observatoire de Paris - Section de Meudon, 5 place Jules Janssen,  
F92195 Meudon cedex, France*

(C) *Observatoire de Paris - Station de radioastronomie, F18330 Nançay, France*

(D) *LAL, Université Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 200, BP 34, F91898 Orsay cedex,  
France*

The CODALEMA experimental device currently detects and characterizes the radio contribution of cosmic ray air showers. Arrival directions and electric field topologies of radio transient signals associated to cosmic rays are extracted from the antenna signals. The measured rate, about 1 event per day, corresponds to an energy threshold around  $5.10^{16}$ eV. These results allow to determine the perspectives offered by the present experimental design for radiodetection of Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays at a larger scale.

### 1. Experiment and event selection

Radio emission associated with the development of Extensive Air Showers (EAS) initiated by high energy cosmic rays was investigated in the 1960's<sup>1</sup>. A flurry of experiments provided initial informations about signals from  $10^{17}$  eV cosmic rays<sup>2</sup>, but plagued by difficulties (poor reproducibility, atmospheric effects, technical limitations) efforts almost ceased in the late 1970's to the benefit of ground particle detection and fluorescence. With the advent of cosmic ray research involving giant surface detectors as in the Auger experiment<sup>3</sup>, the radio detection, with a potential 100% duty cycle and sensitive to the longitudinal development of the showers, is now reconsidered. In recent years, with the availability of new electronics, several groups have undertaken the task of reinvestigating the phenomenology of radio pulses<sup>4,5</sup> which are in a large extent a *terra incognita*. Using

our experiment CODALEMA (COsmic ray Detection Array with Logarithmic ElectroMagnetic Antennas), located at the Nançay radio observatory, firm evidence for a radio emission counterpart of cosmic ray air showers is obtained.

Current experimental setup has been extensively described along with detection and analysis methods in a previous paper <sup>6</sup>. It uses 11 log-periodic antennas of the type constituting the Nançay DecAMetric array (DAM) <sup>7</sup> and 4 particle detectors originally designed as prototype detectors for the Auger array <sup>8</sup>. Seven antennas are equally distributed along a 600 m, East-West line, and 4 other antennas are located on a 85 m side square at the North of this line. The antenna signals are band pass filtered (24-82 MHz) and are recorded after RF signal amplification by LeCroy digital oscilloscopes (8-bit ADC, 500 MHz sampling frequency, 10  $\mu$ s recording time). The four 2.3 m<sup>2</sup> particle detector modules (station) are located on a 7.10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>2</sup> square area around the 4 northern antennas. Each station has two layers of acrylic scintillators, read out by a copper housed photomultiplier placed at the center of each sheet. The whole experiment is triggered by a fourfold coincidence from those stations in a 600 ns time window, at a rate of 0.7 event per minute. Considering the active area of the particle detector array of 7.10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>2</sup> and the arrival direction distribution of the shower, a value of 16.10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>2</sup>.sr is obtained for the acceptance, which corresponds to a trigger energy threshold of about 1.10<sup>15</sup> eV.

For each fourfold coincidence from the particle detectors, the 11 antenna signals are recorded. Due to the relatively low energy threshold, only a small fraction of these air shower events is expected to be accompanied by significant radio signals. The recognition of the radio transients is made during an offline analysis <sup>6</sup>. Radio signals are first 37-70 MHz numerically filtered to detect radio transient. The maximum voltage is searched in a given time window of 2  $\mu$ s width, correlated to the trigger time, and compared to a threshold based on the noise level estimation outside this window. If the threshold condition is fulfilled, the arrival time is set at the maximum voltage and the antenna is flagged. When at least 3 antennas are flagged, a triangulation procedure calculates the arrival direction of the radio wave using a plane front fit. At this level of selection, the counting rate is about one event every two hours.

A stronger selection among these candidates is obtained using the arrival time distribution. It refers the radio wavefront arrival time at a particular point, as determined by antenna triangulation, to the particle front time extracted from the scintillator signals. This time difference distribution is




Figure 1. Left: Distribution of time delays between the radio plane front and the particle plane front. Right: Angular difference distribution with a time cut around the peak and fit by the expected analytical form (red/full line). Errors bars are calculated as the square root of the number of events in the bin.

shown in Fig. 1-left. A very sharp peak (a few tens of nanoseconds) is obtained, showing an unambiguous correlation between some radio events and the particle triggers, whereas the flat distribution corresponds to accidental radio transients which are not associated with air showers but occurred in the  $2 \mu\text{s}$  window where the search is conducted. Being uncorrelated to the particles, these events fill an uniform arrival time distribution. EAS events are those for which the arrival time difference between the two detector systems is within 100 ns, *i.e.* in the main peak of Fig. 1-left.

If these time-correlated events correspond to EAS, the arrival directions reconstructed from both scintillator and antenna data should be strongly correlated. Indeed, for these events arrival directions obtained by both particle and radio signals are the same within 15 degrees whereas the angle for an uncorrelated event is much bigger, its arrival direction given by the antennas being often close to the horizon. This is typical of events from radio interference due to human activity. The angular difference distribution of time-correlated events (Fig. 1-right) can be fit by a gaussian distribution centered on zero multiplied by a sine function coming from the solid angle factor. The standard deviation of the corresponding gaussian is about 4 degrees. This value includes the reconstruction accuracy of both detectors.

After this sorting, confirmed EAS radio event counting rate falls down to 1 event per day, which corresponds to an energy threshold of about  $5.10^{16}$  eV for the antenna array with an assumed acceptance of  $16.10^3 \text{ m}^2.\text{sr}$ . At the end of these analysis procedures, physical characteristics of the radio EAS events can be extracted.

## 2. EAS electric field distribution

Due to the limited extent of the North-South axis of the array, the electric field distribution have mainly been extracted from an analysis along the East-West axis. Antenna responses were cross-calibrated and gains adjusted within a few %. Distributions for 4 illustrative radio EAS events are shown on the Fig. 2 (with a gaussian fit to guide the eye). A fortuitous event (triangles) and the threshold level of our setup illustrating the amount of useful signal received (circles) are also plotted. The fortuitous event was identified as resulting from an anthropic polluting source and rejected from EAS candidate status.




Figure 2. Profile of the maximum voltage (corrected from the cable attenuation and the amplifier gains) recorded on the antennas in the East-West direction for 4 EAS events (squares, gaussian fit: red/full line), an anthropic transient (triangles, fit: blue/dashed line) and detection thresholds (circles, fit: black/dash-dotted line).

Topologies are clearly different between EAS and anthropic events. The anthropic event presents an electric field topology with a nearly linear amplitude which is not expected for an EAS candidate falling in the vicinity of the array. EAS events show highly variable field amplitudes depending on the position on the E-W axis. The width of the distributions observed on an event by event basis indicates probably the dependence on both shower energy and zenithal angle. The projected core locations have been estimated only for events falling inside the surface delimited by the extremities of our antenna array (highly limited by the North-South extent)<sup>9</sup>. The margin between electric field topologies depending on its origin (EAS or anthropic) could constitute one decisive criterion of selection as it comes from the antenna array only and not from a comparison to the particle detectors. In other words, it means that a radiodetection of cosmic ray

experiment should be able to discriminate EAS events by itself.

### 3. Conclusions

Electric field transients generated by extensive air showers have been measured with CODALEMA. The current effective counting rate of 1 event/day leads to an energy threshold around  $5.10^{16}$  eV. Electric field spread related to energy and core location of an EAS can be determined on an event by event basis. It is now possible to discriminate an EAS event from a fortuitous one using only antennas and no particle detector. This is one further step towards a stand-alone system that could be deployed over a large area. Improvements are in progress: setting up of additional scintillators will make possible an independent determination of the shower energy and core position; extension of the N-S antenna line will enable to better sample the radio signal spread; increase of the ADC dynamics using 12-bit encoding will allow to record the full 1 MHz - 100 MHz frequency band, and shower parameters could then be inferred from the full signal shape<sup>6</sup>. In a subsequent upgrade, it is planned to install autonomous dipoles equipped with active front-end electronics, self-triggered and self-time-tagged. This is part of current investigations on the feasibility of adding radio detection techniques to an existing surface detector such as the Pierre Auger Observatory. In the future, we expect that the radio signals should provide complementary information about the longitudinal development of the shower, as well as the ability to lower the energy threshold.

### References

1. G.A. Askar'yan, Soviet Physics, J.E.T.P., 14, (2) 441 (1962)
2. H.R. Allan, in: Progress in elementary particle and cosmic ray physics, ed. by J.G. Wilson and S.A. Wouthuysen (North Holland, 1971), p. 169.
3. Auger Collaboration, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A523, 50 (2004).
4. K. Green et al, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A498, 256 (2003).
5. H. Falcke et al (LOPES Collaboration), Nature, May 19 (2005).
6. D. Ardouin et al (CODALEMA Collaboration), to appear in Nucl. Inst. and Meth. - A (2005), astro-ph/0504297.
7. <http://www.obs-nancay.fr/>
8. M. Boratav et al, The AUGER Project: First Results from the Orsay Prototype Station, Proceedings of the 24th ICRC, Rome, 954 (1995).
9. D. Ardouin et al (CODALEMA Collaboration), in Proceedings of the XXXIXth Rencontres de Moriond "Very High Energy Phenomena in the Universe", La Thuile, Italy (2005), astro-ph/0505442.